

YOLO UNINCORPORATED		
SCENARIO	Base Case	Draft Preferred Blueprint Scenario
Growth in Jobs: 2000-2050	7,236	5,762
Growth in Housing Units: 2000-2050	16,813	4,809
Balance of Jobs/Housing in 2000:	0.7	0.7
Balance of Jobs/Housing Growth (2000-2050):	0.4	1.2
Balance of Jobs/Housing in 2050:	0.5	1.0
New Housing Growth through 2050 (by type):		
Rural Residential (Existing 7%)	1%	5%
Large Lot Single Family (Existing 54%)	82%	46%
Small Lot Single Family (Existing 23%)	9%	27%
Attached Products (Existing 16%)	9%	21%
Total Housing Product Mix through 2050:		
Rural Residential	2%	6%
Large Lot Single Family	77%	50%
Small Lot Single Family	11%	26%
Attached Products	10%	19%
New Job Growth through 2050 (by sector):		
Retail Jobs (Existing 29%)	57%	43%
Office Jobs (Existing 8%)	24%	28%
Industrial Jobs (Existing 39%)	17%	10%
Public/Quasi-Public Jobs (Existing 24%)	2%	19%
Total Job Mix through 2050:		
Retail Jobs	50%	38%
Office Jobs	19%	22%
Industrial Jobs	23%	20%
Public/Quasi-Public Jobs	8%	21%
Growth through Re-investment in 2050:		
Jobs	0%	0%
Dwelling Units	0%	0%
Type of Trips:		
Auto	95.9%	94.2%
Transit	0.0%	0.0%
Bike and Pedestrian	4.1%	5.7%
Vehicle Miles Traveled		
Per Day per Household	79.0	66.5
Pct Vehicle Hours in Heavy Congestion on Freeways and Arterials	0%	0%

Discussion Draft Preferred Scenario Summary

Small amounts of growth are added to existing rural villages:

- Small growth rate consistent with county policy to steer growth to cities (away from agricultural lands);
- Growth in rural villages is a balance of jobs and housing, which will help to make them whole communities (i.e. not bedroom communities for jobs in other places).

NOTE: An idea not included in the preferred alternative, but that might be worth re-consideration in the future, is establishing a "new town" somewhere in unincorporated Yolo County that is not on prime agricultural land (possibly northwest of Woodland).